

Understanding Biblical Relational Ethics: Personal Conflict vs. Church Discipline

1. Introduction: The Mandate for Biblical Relationship

In the study of Christian relational ethics, believers are called to ground their interpersonal dynamics in the character and teachings of Jesus Christ. However, minister Alan Drake highlights a pervasive and ungodly failure within the contemporary Church: the widespread practice among believers of permanently severing communication and abandoning relationships over personal conflicts. Whether prompted by personality clashes, minor offenses, practical disagreements, or the mere difficulty of engaging with challenging individuals, Christians frequently resort to relational abandonment rather than engaging in the arduous work of biblical resolution.

The intellectual catalyst for Drake's analysis offers a profound pedagogical lesson in theological iron-sharpening. Following a newsletter publication in which Drake asserted that "Jesus, who is our ultimate role model, never cut anyone off," a Ukrainian ministry colleague challenged his claim. Rather than inducing defensiveness, this challenge compelled Drake to re-examine the Scriptures rigorously to refine his teaching. As outlined in Ephesians 4:13, such constructive theological dialogue is vital for moving the Body of Christ toward "the unity of the faith and of the knowledge of the Son of God."

Through this scriptural re-examination, Drake established that Jesus never terminated a relationship over personal friction or interpersonal slights. Because believers are organically joined as interdependent members of a single spiritual body, unilaterally severing ties with a fellow member inflicts structural damage upon the Church itself. Christians are explicitly commanded to offer unconditional forgiveness to those who offend them—extending grace even to enemies, just as Christ forgave His executioners on the cross—and to actively participate in God's divine work of reconciliation.

“But now there are many members, but one body. And the eye cannot say to the hand, ‘I have no need of you’; or again the head to the feet, ‘I have no need of you.’” — 1 Corinthians 12:20–21 (NASB)

“Now all things are of God, who has reconciled us to Himself through Jesus Christ, and has given us the ministry of reconciliation... that God was reconciling the world to himself in Christ, not counting people's sins against

them. And he has committed to us the message of reconciliation.” — 2 Corinthians 5:18–19 (NKJV / NIV)

To cultivate healthy, ethically sound faith communities, ministry leaders and students must learn to distinguish clearly between navigating personal hurt—which strictly demands unconditional forgiveness—and addressing formal, unrepentant sin through congregational accountability.

***Learning Reflection:** How does viewing interpersonal friction through the lens of corporate body unity (1 Cor 12) reshape your immediate responsibility when a ministry colleague or fellow believer causes personal offense?*

2. Categorizing Relational Friction: Personal Offense vs. Formal Discipline

To maintain biblical integrity, pastoral leaders must establish rigorous criteria for distinguishing between personal grievances and situations requiring formal congregational intervention. Scriptural directives explicitly forbid believers from cutting off fellow members of the Church due to personal slights or dispositional friction, reserving relational severance exclusively for instances where a professing believer demonstrates persistent, unrepentant sin.

The pedagogical table below categorizes relational friction into two distinct ethical realms, outlining their respective triggers and mandated scriptural actions:

Personal Offense vs. Unrepentant Sin

Category	Biblical Triggers	Mandated Action
Category 1: Personal Conflict	Personality clashes, minor offenses, personal disagreements, or dispositional difficulties.	Practice unconditional forgiveness, active reconciliation, and patient endurance. Scriptural mandates explicitly prohibit cutting off fellow members of the Body of Christ.
Category 2: Unrepentant Sin	Ongoing immoral behavior and explicit, persistent refusal to repent when confronted by the church community.	Execute formal church discipline, culminating in removal from congregational fellowship if unrepentance persists.

While personal offenses must always be met with forbearance, grace, and an open hand of reconciliation, Holy Scripture outlines a precise, progressive protocol when a professing believer stubbornly persists in willful sin.

Learning Reflection: *What diagnostic questions can a pastoral team ask to ensure an interpersonal conflict is not improperly escalated into a discipline case due to personal frustration or executive inconvenience?*

3. The Biblical Protocol for Formal Discipline (Matthew 18 & 1 Corinthians 5)

When a situation transcends personal offense and enters the realm of persistent sin, Scripture establishes a formal framework for corporate discipline. Pastoral ethics demands that the primary objective of this protocol remain redemptive rather than punitive; its purpose is the restoration of the individual, the spiritual protection of the congregation, and the preservation of the Church's witness.

Practical Protocol: The 4-Step Reconciliation Process (Matthew 18:15–17)

1. **Private Confrontation:** Address the issue directly and privately with the individual. As Christ instructs: *"If your brother sins against you, go to him. Tell him what he did wrong. Keep it between the two of you. If he listens to you, you have won him back."*
2. **Establishment of Witnesses:** If the individual refuses to listen, return with one or two other mature believers. This aligns with the judicial principle of Deuteronomy 19:15: *"Every matter must be proved by the words of two or three witnesses."*
3. **Congregational Notice:** If the individual refuses to hear the witnesses, bring the matter before the official church assembly.
4. **Formal Removal from Fellowship:** If the individual persistently rejects the admonition of the gathered church, alter their relational status within the community: *"Then don't treat him as your brother. Treat him as you would treat an ungodly person or a tax collector."* (NIRV)

Contextual Case Analysis: Paul's Application in Corinth (1 Corinthians 5 & 2 Corinthians 2:6–11)

- **Removal for Unrepentant Immorality:** When a man in the Corinthian church engaged in an incestuous relationship with his step-mother, the local church displayed moral apathy. The Apostle Paul instructed the church to

assemble in the authority and power of Jesus Christ to *"deliver such a one to Satan for the destruction of his flesh, so that his spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus"* (1 Cor 5:3–5, 12–13). The individual was formally removed from congregational life.

- **Restoration Upon True Repentance:** The disciplinary measure achieved its restorative goal when the man subsequently repented and expressed profound grief over his sin. In his second epistle, Paul instructed the church to immediately restore the individual, forgive him, comfort him, and reaffirm their love for him. Paul warned that failing to restore a repentant believer allows excessive sorrow to crush the individual, granting Satan a tactical advantage through unresolved bitterness and corporate harshness (2 Cor 2:6–11).

[!NOTE] **Ethical Boundary Framework: Believers vs. Unbelievers (1 Corinthians 5:9–11)** Formal church discipline applies strictly to professing believers—those whom Paul designates as "so-called brothers." Paul explicitly clarifies that Christians are not called to judge or isolate themselves from non-believers in the surrounding culture who practice sin:

"I wrote you in my letter not to associate with immoral people; I did not at all mean with the immoral people of this world, or with the covetous and swindlers, or with idolaters, for then you would have to go out of the world. But actually, I wrote to you not to associate with any so-called brother if he is an immoral person, or covetous, or an idolater, or a reviler, or a drunkard, or a swindler—not even to eat with such a one." (1 Cor 5:9–11)

While God judges those outside the church, general scriptural wisdom dictates that believers maintain prudent boundaries in daily life—such as avoiding being unequally yoked with unbelievers, refusing to participate in unrighteous activities, guarding against friendships with quick-tempered individuals (Proverbs 22:24), and choosing not to keep company with fools (Proverbs 13:20).

Although the New Testament provides an explicit, highly detailed protocol for safeguarding community holiness while restoring fallen believers, modern ecclesiastical institutions frequently fail to implement these required standards.

Learning Reflection: *Why is Paul's command "not even to eat with such a one" a critical practical boundary during formal discipline, and how does it safeguard both the unrepentant sinner and the congregation?*

4. The Crisis of Modern Compromise and the "Leaven" Metaphor

Critical Diagnosis: Causes of Failure in Modern Leadership

In his evaluation of contemporary church health, Alan Drake observes that if the Apostle Paul were to inspect modern congregations, he would severely scold leadership much as he did the Corinthians. Today, ethical breaches and moral compromises are widespread among church attendees and high-profile ministry leaders alike. Public exposures of systemic sin no longer elicit widespread shock. This modern crisis persists largely because church leaders fail to consistently or correctly administer the formal discipline commanded by Christ in Matthew 18, choosing cultural tolerance over biblical holiness.

Paul identified three primary root causes for this administrative and pastoral collapse in 1 Corinthians 5:2–8:

- **Arrogance and Lack of Mourning:** Rather than grieving deeply over corporate moral failures and acting decisively, congregations become puffed up with pride, treating severe sin with indifference (1 Cor 5:2).
- **Tolerance over Holiness:** Modern ministry culture frequently misapplies the concept of grace, elevating pragmatic compromise and cultural tolerance above the uncompromising mandate for personal and structural purity.
- **The Leaven Principle:** Church leaders routinely ignore the spiritual law articulated by Paul: *"Do you not know that a little leaven leavens the whole lump of dough?"* (1 Cor 5:6–8).

[Unaddressed Sin in Leadership/Members]

|

▼ (Spiritual Contagion)

[Systemic Compromise & Cultural Toleration]

|

▼ (Corporate Decay)

[Institutional Corruption & Loss of Witness]

The metaphor of leaven illustrates the rapid, invasive nature of unaddressed sin. Just as a minuscule amount of yeast permeates an entire batch of dough, persistent

sin left unconforted acts as a spiritual contagion within a faith community. It erodes institutional integrity, corrupts spiritual leadership, lowers the moral standard of the congregation, and ultimately destroys the Church's public witness before a watching world.

To overcome this widespread compromise and protect the spiritual health of the community, church leadership must return to a biblical model of righteous accountability.

***Learning Reflection:** In what subtle ways does modern church culture mistake "arrogant tolerance" for "Christian grace," and how can pastoral ethics correct this misconception?*

5. Conclusion: Reclaiming Holy Separation and Righteous Leadership

Pastoral Call: Holy Separation Unto God

A robust Christian ethic requires an absolute conceptual boundary between selfish, ungodly abandonment and genuine, holy separation. Ungodly separation is rooted in personal offense, dispositional clashes, and self-righteousness; it permanently severs relationships over minor slights. In stark contrast, scriptural separation is a corporate commitment to righteousness—a "holy separation unto God" that refuses to tolerate or compromise with unrepentant sin, while maintaining an open path toward genuine repentance and loving restoration.

“Come out from among them and be separate,” says the Lord. ‘Do not touch anything that is not pure and clean. Then I will receive you. And, I will be your Father. You will be my sons and daughters,’ says the Lord who rules over all.” — 2 Corinthians 6:17–18 (NIRV)

Practical Leadership Imperatives: Core Principles for Ministry

To restore structural integrity, purity, and pastoral credibility to the contemporary Church, emerging ministry leaders must uphold three non-negotiable principles:

- 1. Forbear Personal Offenses; Confront Unrepentant Sin:** Never cut fellow believers off over personal clashes, dispositional friction, or minor slights. Extend unconditional forgiveness, but scrupulously follow the four-step protocol of Matthew 18 when persistent, unrepentant sin threatens the Body.
- 2. Prioritize Restoration as the Primary Objective:** Formal discipline must never degenerate into retributive punishment or institutional self-defense.

The ultimate objective is always spiritual redemption. When a disciplined individual demonstrates genuine repentance, leadership must move decisively to forgive, comfort, and fully restore them in love.

3. **Demand Courageous, Impartial Leadership:** Reclaiming church health requires leaders who stand for holiness without political compromise or personal favoritism. When sin is brought to light, leaders must address it promptly, consistently, and without partiality, preserving the spiritual purity of the flock entrusted to their care.